

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/774,191 | | 02/06/2004 | James T. Hamilton | 2026/41553/1 | 7211 | | |
| 279 | 7590 | 10/12/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | - | INELL, GIANGIOR | ALIE, GHASSEM | | | | |
| | STONE & I | MARR, LTD. S STREET | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SUITE 3 | 600 | | • | 3724 | | | |
| CHICAG | 3O, IL 60 | 603 | | DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 1 |
|--|---|--|------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/774,191 | HAMILTON ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ghassem Alie | 3724 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address - | • |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 s 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p | | s is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30 and 31 is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | hdrawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object of drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So the ction is required if the drawing(s) is consistent of the drawing(s) is consistent and the consistence of | see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Application on the properties of | ation No ved in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21, 25, and 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leanna (4,831,930) in view of Fukuyama (3,897,2921). Regarding claims 21 and 25, Leanna teaches a rotary cutting die 14-16, 22 mountable on a metal cylinder 12. The core metal core 12 defines the metal cylinder and the saddle located around the periphery of the cylinder 12 defines the rotary die cutting. Permanent magnet 14, spacers 15, magnetic poles 16, and the cutting die plate 22 define the saddle. Leanna also teaches the rotary die plate 20 has an inner surface and an outer surface and the inner surface is magnetically attractable and magnetically mountable on metal cylinder 12. Leanna also teaches a cutting blade 23 mounted on the outer surface of rotary die pate 14-16, 22. Leanna also teaches that rotary die plate is configured such that the rotary cutting die is mountable on the metal cylinder. Leanna also teaches that rotary die plate 14-16, 22 is formed of a solidified resin having a plurality of magnetic elements 14, 16. See Fig. 1-10 and col. 1, lines 7-47 and col. 4, lines 1-68 in Leanna. Leanna does not explicitly teach that the magnetic elements are disposed within the resin and the rotary die is contactably mountable con the metal cylinder without having to use mechanical holding devices including screws and clamps. However, Fukuyama teaches a rotary die plate 2 contactably mountable on a metal cylinder 1 without having to use mechanical holding devices including screws and clamps. See Figs. 1-3 in Fukuyama.

Art Unit: 3724

Fukuyama also teaches that the rotary die plate 2 is formed of a solidified resin and a plurality of magnetic elements 6 disposed within the resin. Magnetic elements 6 are disposed within the base layer 4, which is composed of fibrous layer impregnated with a thermosetting resin, and a layer 7 which is also composed of a thermosetting resin. Therefore, magnetic elements 5 are disposed within the resin. See Figs. 1-3 and col. 3, lines 26-50 in Fukuyama. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Leanna's rotary die plate with the arrangement of the magnetic elements and non-magnetic elements, as taught by Fukuyama, is order to mount the rotary die plate on the metal cylinder without the use of mechanical holding devices.

Regarding claim 29, Leanna, as modified by Fukuyama, teaches everything noted above including a magnetic member on metal cylinder 12 in contact with the rotary cutting die. It should be noted that Leanna teaches that the saddle is wrapped around the cylinder 12. Fukuyama teaches that more than one saddle is needed to fill the circumferential area of a metal cylinder such as taught in Leanna. This is evident in Clifton et al. (3,66,752). The adjacent saddles are in contact with one another. Therefore, one of the saddles, which is defined as a magnetic member, reduces the creeping of the adjacent saddle or the rotary cutting die.

Claims 22, 24, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leanna in view of Fukuyama, as applied to claims 21 and 25, and in further view of Huang (2003/0209112). Regarding claims 22, 24, 26, and 28, Leanna, as modified by Fukuyama, teaches everything noted above except that the magnet elements are neodymium magnets. However, the use of neodymium magnets to mount a surface on a metallic surface

Application/Control Number: 10/774,191

is well known in the rat such as taught by Huang. Huang teaches neodymium magnetic elements 13, 22 for mounting a surface to a metallic surface 41. See Figs. 1-3 and page 1, paragraph 16 in Huang. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the magnetic elements of Leanna's rotary die plate, as modified by Fukuyama, from neodymium magnets as taught by Huang in order to ensure a strong bound between the die plate and the metal cylinder since the metal neodymium magnets have strong magnetic attraction.

4. Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leanna in view of Fukuyama, as applied to claims 21 and 25, and in further view of Kapolnek (6,067,887). Regarding claims 23 and 27, Leanna, as modified by Fukuyama, teaches everything noted above except that the cutting blade having edge which extends at least 0.125 inches above an outer surface of the rotary die plate. However, Kapolnek teaches a the cutting blade 12 having a cutting edge 16 that extends 0125 inches above an outer surface 18 of a rotary die plate 14. See Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 23-40 in Kapolnek. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Leanna's rotary die plate, as modified by Fukuyama, with the cutting blade as taught by Kapolnek in order to use the rotary cutting blade fro cutting a specific type of material that has a specific thickness.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Application/Control Number: 10/774,191 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

applicant's disclosure.

Taylor (5,711,223), Pugh et al. (3,824,927), Cavazos (5,938,579), and Welch, Jr. (3,670,646)

teach a die rotary plate magnetically attached to a metal cylinder.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4501.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, SEE http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (too-free).

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

GA/ga

October 7, 2005